A ANNUAL REVIEWS

Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry The Role of Raman Spectroscopy Within Quantitative Metabolomics

Cassio Lima, Howbeer Muhamadali, and Royston Goodacre

Department of Biochemistry and Systems Biology, Institute of Systems, Molecular, and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZB, United Kingdom; email: roy.goodacre@liverpool.ac.uk

Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. 2021. 14:323-45

First published as a Review in Advance on April 7, 2021

The Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry is online at anchem.annualreviews.org

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anchem-091420-092323

Copyright © 2021 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

ANNUAL CONNECT

- www.annualreviews.org
- Download figures
- Navigate cited references
- Keyword search
- Explore related articles
- Share via email or social media

Keywords

Raman spectroscopy, metabolomics, surface-enhanced Raman scattering, SERS, coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy, CARS, stimulated Raman spectroscopy, SRS, spatially offset Raman spectroscopy, SORS

Abstract

Ninety-four years have passed since the discovery of the Raman effect, and there are currently more than 25 different types of Raman-based techniques. The past two decades have witnessed the blossoming of Raman spectroscopy as a powerful physicochemical technique with broad applications within the life sciences. In this review, we critique the use of Raman spectroscopy as a tool for quantitative metabolomics. We overview recent developments of Raman spectroscopy for identification and quantification of disease biomarkers in liquid biopsies, with a focus on the recent advances within surface-enhanced Raman scattering-based methods. Ultimately, we discuss the applications of imaging modalities based on Raman scattering as label-free methods to study the abundance and distribution of biomolecules in cells and tissues, including mammalian, algal, and bacterial cells.

Downloaded from www.annuaireviews.org.

Guest (guest) IP: 18.220.71.143 On: Tue, 02 Jul 2024 06:48:54

1. INTRODUCTION

Metabolomics is one of the newest omics platforms and aims to study the metabolome, i.e., the set of metabolites within a biological sample (1). Metabolites are small molecules with low molecular weight (in relation to proteins and nucleic acids) that are involved in biological processes as reactants, intermediates, or products of biochemical reactions (2). The biological sample studied can be an entire organism, a tissue specimen, a cell, an organ, an organelle, or a biofluid. The metabolome is composed of metabolites originating from a number of processes and may include endogenous metabolites; these are naturally produced and consumed within a biological system (e.g., sugars, organic acids, amino acids, nucleic acids, lipids, vitamins) as well as exogenous metabolites that are not synthesized by the organism and therefore are imported from outside (e.g., drugs, environmental contaminants, food additives, toxins, and other xenobiotics) (2). The main focus of metabolomics is to provide a better understanding of biological functions by investigating the link between metabolites (defined as metabolism) and their interactions with other biochemical species. It occupies a unique position compared to the other omics platforms, as the metabolome is the closest link to physiology and reflects all information expressed and modulated by all other major omics approaches (genome, transcriptome, and proteome) (2). Therefore, metabolomics is generally considered as the link between genotype and phenotype because the metabolome is the final response of a biological system to genetic or environmental changes (e.g., diet, age, lifestyle, medications, and diseases) and in humans can also be affected by the micro-organisms that live in unison within what is termed a superorganism (3).

Over the last four decades, extensive research has been conducted in search of genes responsible for many chronic diseases. However, recent studies have revealed that human health relies not only on genes but also on the interaction of multiple genes with environmental factors (4), an area often referred to as the exposome (5, 6). Owing to its close relationship to the phenotype and its unique ability to probe complex biochemistry, metabolomics is a powerful tool for exploring human diseases based on how small molecules are globally affected by physiological and pathological changes. The importance of metabolites and their role on the development of chronic and complex diseases such as cancer (7, 8), diabetes (9), and atherosclerosis (4) have been previously demonstrated by metabolomics approaches. The evaluation of genes and genetic risk scores may be useful for evaluating the diseases that an individual may develop in the future; however, metabolomics has the potential to provide information about the biological disorders currently taking place within an individual (4). The sensitivity of the metabolome to external stimuli has been used not only as a biomarker to report disease status but also as a tool to monitor therapeutic outcomes, pointing out the growing role of pharmacometabolomics in precision medicine (10, 11). The main objective of precision or personalized medicine is to combine the medical treatment of an individual to its profile obtained through advanced omics testing in order to develop specialized treatment and thus improve the therapeutic outcomes (12). Several studies on complex diseases such as cancer have shown that the cancers of two patients are not exactly the same, and hence, different responses to generic treatments such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy may be expected owing to the interpatient variability (10). Providing a treatment modality that best suits the individual response of each patient is a key factor in avoiding the exposure of the individual to ineffective and costly treatments that can cause unnecessary side effects and, most importantly, achieve a favorable prognosis (13). Furthermore, it is well known that drug metabolism can vary according to physiological variables such as ethnicity, age, gender, weight, height, and diet (12). Thus, monitoring the metabolome and the biochemical components obtained by genomics and other omics methodologies can offer major improvements in fighting not only cancer but several other diseases by providing new insights into disease pathophysiology and the mechanisms

Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org

Guest (guest) Lima • Muhamadali • Goodacre IP: 18.220.71.143

responsible by means of differences in drug responses and by customizing drug dosing owing to interpatient variability (4, 10, 14).

The basic methods used in metabolomics research involve advanced analytical techniques combined with computational tools that allow for the characterization of complex mixtures of small molecules within the metabolome (15, 16). It is important to highlight that the metabolome can be wide and diverse regarding the physical and chemical properties of metabolites, which may be found distributed over a wide range of concentrations. Hence, there is no single analytical platform that allows for the identification and quantification of the complete set of metabolites within a biological system and, therefore, combining different analytical techniques is a common strategy in metabolomics investigations (2, 17, 18).

Over the past 15 years, two analytical techniques have emerged as primary platforms in metabolomics research: nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (MS) (8, 12). Both techniques enable the identification and quantification of a large number of metabolites coexisting in complex samples. NMR spectroscopy is highly reproducible and requires minimal sample preparation compared to MS-based methods. However, the sensitivity achieved by NMR spectroscopy is relatively low compared to that obtained by MS platforms owing to the signal overlapping at certain spectral regions (19). Although MS is more sensitive than NMR, it usually involves the coupling of a separation technique such as gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) because of the complexity of biological mixtures. Gas chromatographymass spectrometry (GC-MS) is a powerful tool to analyze volatile metabolites with high sensitivity, resolution, and reproducibility. Major drawbacks are that it requires time-consuming sample processing and derivatization steps to improve sample volatility or increase retention on the GC column. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) has become the main technique for metabolite profiling. Sample volatility is not a requirement, so there is no need for derivatization, resulting in a broader range of detectable analytes (18). Chromatography-MS (GC-MS and LC-MS) and NMR spectroscopy are by far the most widely applied platforms for identification and quantification of metabolites. However, other MS-based methods such as capillary electrophoresis-MS and direct infusion (or direct injection) MS can also be used in metabolomics research (18).

Despite the remarkable progress in biomedical fields through MS-based metabolomics, there remain limitations and challenges regarding the translation of such technologies into clinical practice. One major challenge is the data variability obtained by different studies performed on the same or similar samples by independent research groups, which are generally introduced by factors such as sample preparation (quenching, extraction, and derivatization), data acquisition, and data analysis (20, 21). These challenges may be overcome by developing standard methods that are able to measure the absolute concentration of metabolites. However, this approach is not straightforward for MS, as it requires suitable internal standards and calibration methods (22). Until recently, measuring the relative concentration of metabolites has been the main goal of most MS-based metabolomics studies because this approach is sufficient to evaluate the overall changes in the metabolome within a biological system under perturbations. However, given the need for rapid and accurate absolute quantification in clinical settings, there is an increasing drive toward standardized approaches within the metabolomics community, with an emphasis on quantification and automation (21).

Vibrational spectroscopic methods such as infrared (IR) and Raman spectroscopies have also been used as analytical tools in metabolomics investigations. Both methods interrogate the chemical profile of a sample based on its chemical vibrations and provide spectral fingerprints representing a snapshot of the overall biochemistry of the sample at a given time (1, 23, 24). Spectral signatures provided by Raman and IR spectroscopies are obtained through distinct modes of interaction between the incident radiation and the sample. Raman shift results from inelastic scattering of the incident light due to a change in polarizability of the molecule, whereas an IR spectrum is obtained based on the absorption of the incident radiation due to a change in the dipole moment of vibrating molecules (23). The Raman signal is obtained through a monochromatic laser beam of high intensity (i.e., a laser), whereas IR spectroscopy utilizes a source emitting IR radiation such as a globar, synchrotron radiation, and/or a quantum cascade laser. Owing to their distinct operating modes, both methods have advantages and limitations. One major drawback of IR spectroscopy is the strong absorption of water over the mid-IR region $(4,000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1})$, which requires the dehydration of samples and specialized sampling such as attenuated total reflectance or digital subtraction of the water signal (23). On the other hand, water molecules are weak scatterers and therefore do not interfere with the Raman signal. However, Raman spectra acquired with a visible laser from biological samples can often be dominated by fluorescence, which is not an issue for IR spectroscopy (23). Vibrational spectroscopic techniques are not as sensitive as MS-based methods, and accurate identification of metabolites in complex biological samples is still a challenge. However, Raman and IR spectroscopies provide information on general groups of biomolecules such as carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids simultaneously in a rapid, reagent-free, nondestructive, high-throughput, and relatively inexpensive manner compared to other platforms commonly used in metabolomics studies. Furthermore, Raman and IR technologies are available as portable devices (25), which is ideal for point-of-care medicine. Because of its ability to provide biochemical information in a holistic manner, vibrational spectroscopy has been used as a valuable tool for metabolic fingerprinting/footprinting. In light of this, we review the recent developments in Raman spectroscopy as a quantitative method for metabolomics investigation.

2. SPONTANEOUS RAMAN SCATTERING AND RELATED PHENOMENA

The Raman effect was first observed in 1928 by Sir Chandrasekhara Venkata Raman (26), who began experimenting with light scattering in an attempt to understand the blue color of the Mediterranean Sea that he observed on a trip through Europe in 1921 (27). In 1930, he was awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics. During the next 30 years, Raman experiments were carried out on large sample volumes that took up to three months to prepare owing to the long purification process (multiple distillations) to avoid undesirable effects such as fluorescence and stray light from impurities. Spectral acquisition could take days due to the long integration time, and these data were recorded using prism spectrographs, Hg lamps, and photographic plates. The invention of the laser in the 1960s and its implementation as a source of excitation in spectrometers had a major impact on Raman technology, allowing the acquisition of data in a faster and more reproducible manner. This explains the rise in the number of publications observed in the early 1970s (Figure 1d). Similar to other analytical techniques, the development of Raman spectroscopy is directly influenced by advances in technology and has been driven by the need for improvements in sensitivity, speed of collection, spatial resolution, and specificity. Ninety-four years have passed since the discovery of Raman scattering, and there are currently more than 25 different types of Raman-based techniques (28). Figure 1 shows the total number of publications from 1930 to 2020 listed in the Web of Science using names of the main Raman-based methods in the biomedical sciences as keyword search terms.

2.1. Spontaneous Raman Scattering

When electromagnetic radiation interacts with a molecule, the energy of the incident photon can be transferred to the molecule through different modes of interaction such as absorption,

Guest (guest) 326 Lima • Muhamadali • Goodacre IP: 18.220.71.143 On: Tue. 02 Jul 2024 06:48:54







Potential energy level diagrams. (*a*) Representation of rotational, vibrational, and electronic (ground and excited) states in a molecule. Energy transfer process in resonance Raman scattering (*b*), Stokes (*c*), and anti-Stokes (*d*) Raman scattering. Ω represents the energy difference between the excited ($\nu = 1$) and fundamental vibrational states of mid-infrared.

emission, or scattering (28, 29). In absorption, the molecule may undergo a process called transition as a consequence of the transference of energy, which is characterized by a change from an initial state to a posterior state. The molecular transition can be classified as electronic, vibrational, or rotational (30). Electronic transitions occur when the molecule absorbs a photon with energy compatible with wavelengths in the ultraviolet–visible (UV-Vis) spectral range. Vibrational transitions require radiation with typical wavenumbers between 400 and 4,000 cm⁻¹, i.e., infrared radiation. Rotational transitions occur when radiation with frequencies between 1.6 and 30 GHz is absorbed (microwave) (30). Vibrational transitions occur between different vibrational levels of the same electronic state, while rotational transitions occur between rotational levels of the same vibrational state (31) (**Figure 2a**). The basic rule for the occurrence of a transition is that the energy of the incident photon matches the energy difference between two states. In the case that the incoming photon does not match any molecular transition, the photon is scattered (28).

Light scattering can be subdivided into elastic or inelastic. The first one does not involve energy exchange (the wavelength remains unchanged after scattering) such as Rayleigh and Mie/Tyndall scattering (28). Inelastic scattering is represented by Brillouin, Compton, and Raman scattering (28). In Raman, the incoming photons go into a virtual state and then are measured as scattered

photons with different energy. Virtual states are created at the time of laser incidence and are not associated to electronic, vibrational, or rotational states of the molecule. If the photon transfers its energy to the molecule, the scattered photon will have lower energy and longer wavelength (Stokes scattering), which means that the initial state is the ground state, and after the scattering process, the final state is an excited vibrational state (**Figure 2***c*). On the other hand, if the molecule is already in an excited vibrational state and transfers energy to the incident photon, the scattered photon will have higher energy and a shorter wavelength (anti-Stokes scattering), which means that the final state is the ground state (28) (**Figure 2***d*). Spontaneous Raman scattering or the Raman effect refers to the inelastic scattering of light, including Stokes and anti-Stokes processes. The energy difference of inelastic scattering corresponds to the energy difference between the excited ($\nu = 1$) and fundamental vibrational states of mid-IR. At room temperature, most molecules are in the fundamental state; therefore, the Raman effect is dominated by the Stokes process rather than the anti-Stokes phenomenon (29).

2.2. Nonlinear Raman Scattering

In 1974, development of the first Raman microscopes was presented at the Fourth International Conference on Raman Spectroscopy (ICORS) held in Brunswick, Maine. However, the concept of combining Raman spectroscopy to a microscope for obtaining molecular information from samples at microscopic level was suggested almost a decade earlier by Delhaye and Migeon in 1966 (29). Since then, Raman microspectrometers have been upgraded, and nowadays it is possible to obtain images through spontaneous Raman scattering. The combination of spectral with spatial information provided by Raman imaging has made it possible to evaluate the distribution of compounds based on their spectral signatures, providing a better understanding of the physicochemical properties of the sample. The main drawback of spontaneous Raman effect (typically only \sim 1 in 10⁶–10⁷ photons are converted to Raman scattered light), which makes the image acquisition very long and unsuitable for real-time imaging of dynamical processes such as in biological systems (32).

To overcome the long sample analysis times for spontaneous Raman imaging, techniques based on coherent Raman scattering (CRS), such as coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) and stimulated Raman spectroscopy (SRS), have been developed for accelerating the acquisition of Raman images. CRS enables label-free imaging of living cells and tissues by enhancing the weak Raman signal through third-order nonlinear optical processes (33). In CRS, two high-power pulsed lasers (picosecond or femtosecond lasers) are used to generate CARS/SRS signals; one of the lasers is known as the pump beam (ω_{pump}) and the other as the Stokes (ω_{Stokes}) beam (28). In CARS, the pump (ω_{pump}) and Stokes (ω_{Stokes}) beams are tuned onto resonance with the frequency of a Raman peak. In the following, a probe photon of frequency (ω_{pr}) induces a perturbation for the anti-Stokes scattering process to occur at frequency $\omega_{CARS} = \omega_{pump} - \omega_{Stokes} + \omega_{pr}$. In most CARS systems, the pump and probe photons are provided by the same laser ($\omega_{pr} = \omega_{pump}$) and thus, $\omega_{\text{CARS}} = 2\omega_{\text{pump}} - \omega_{\text{Stokes}}$ (28, 33) (Figure 3*a*). The major drawback of CARS is the occurrence of an interfering nonresonance background during the CARS signal generation process, which reduces image contrast and complicates quantification (28). In SRS, photons from ω_{pump} and ω_{Stokes} beams interact with a molecule, resulting in a transfer of energy from the pump photon to the molecule (stimulated Raman loss), and then the molecule scatters a new photon with frequency (ω_{Stokes}, stimulated Raman gain) (28, 34) (Figure 3b). The SRS signal is quantified by taking into consideration the amount of energy transferred from the pump beam to the Stokes beam. In contrast to CARS, SRS is free of undesirable background signals and has a linear dependence



(*a*) Energy transfer in coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) and (*b*) stimulated Raman spectroscopy (SRS) processes. Ω represents the energy difference between the excited ($\nu = 1$) and fundamental vibrational states of mid-infrared.

to concentration, which makes SRS a better tool for quantitative chemical imaging and spectral analysis than CARS (35).

2.3. Signal Enhancement Through Surface Plasmon and Resonance Raman Spectroscopy

CARS and SRS microscopies have emerged as powerful techniques for label-free imaging in biological systems; however, the spatial resolution achieved in both methods is restricted by the diffraction limit of light, which is $\sim 1 \,\mu$ m, according to Abbe's criterion (28). To achieve submicron spatial resolution, Raman spectroscopy was combined to scanning near-field optical microscopy technology and gave rise to tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS) nanoimaging (28). In TERS, a sharp metal or metal-coated nanotip is positioned a few nanometers above the sample, and the Raman excitation light is focused onto the surface of the tip, enabling nanoscale chemical imaging of the sample surface with a spatial resolution of 10–30 nm (28). Besides the improvement on spatial resolution, the electromagnetic field of the Raman excitation light is also enhanced by localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) on the tip's apex. Hence, the number of Raman photons being generated is higher compared to spontaneous Raman scattering. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering/spectroscopy (SERS) and resonance Raman spectroscopy (RRS) can also be used to increase the sensitivity while maintaining the specificity of Raman scattering. In SERS, the sample is adsorbed on a solid substrate with metallic nanostructures on the surface or in a colloidal suspension of metallic nanoparticles such as gold or silver, leading to amplification of the electric field of the incident light via LSPR (similar to TERS) (36). The SERS signal is also amplified by chemical effect due to the transference of charge between the metallic structure and the analyte, which results in an enhancement factor higher than in TERS. It also enables the quantitative determination and identification of molecules at ultralow concentrations and quenching of the fluorescence background (37). In RRS, the Raman signal is improved by frequency coincidence (or resonance) of the excitation laser to the energy required for an electronic transition of a compound (Figure 2b), which is sensitive and highly specific to obtaining information from chromophoric species, e.g., with excitation in the deep UV; aromatic amino acids and nucleic acids are then selectively enhanced (38). Both RRS and SERS techniques can be combined (surface-enhanced resonance Raman spectroscopy, SERRS), resulting in an amplification factor of up to ten orders of magnitude as compared to spontaneous Raman spectroscopy (39).

3. APPLICATIONS OF RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY IN METABOLOMICS STUDIES

Raman spectroscopy is a powerful analytical technique to study the chemical composition of materials and has been extensively used in metabolomics investigations. In the first part of this section, we present recent results reported by various studies where Raman signatures are collected in single-point mode; i.e., a single Raman spectrum is collected from the material under analysis. Next, we discuss the applications of imaging techniques based on Raman scattering as label-free methods to study the distribution of biomolecules.

3.1. Raman and Metabolomics: Single-Point Mode

Biological information can be extracted from Raman spectra through quantitative and/or qualitative analysis, which are decided by the user based on the spectral features and final goal of the experiment. **Figure 4** shows situations that may occur in a Raman experiment: appearance/disappearance of peaks (**Figure 4***a*), changes in peak intensities (**Figure 4***b*), shifts in peak position (**Figure 4***d*), and no clear spectral changes as judged by the naked eye (**Figure 4***e*).

Within the context of qualitative analysis, information can be retrieved from situations depicted in Figure 4a,d. Appearance/disappearance of Raman peaks is a good alternative to analyze the presence and/or absence of molecules in a biological system. Alonso-Pernas et al. (44) evaluated the accumulation of poly-β-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) in bacterial cells by monitoring vibrations from PHB that are not naturally observed in the spectrum of bacteria. In another study, Raman was used for real-time monitoring of the differentiation of neural cells (PC12 cells, embryonic stem cells, and adult stem cells), in which the appearance and disappearance of several peaks were observed during cell differentiation (45). SERS has also been used to diagnose infections caused by pathogens, including bacterial meningitis in cerebrospinal fluid samples, by monitoring the appearance of peaks associated to neopterin [695 cm⁻¹ (40)] (Figure 4*a*), as well as red blood cells infected with *Plasmodium falciparum* through the analysis of a new peak at 1,599 cm⁻¹ (46). The spectral contrast achieved by the appearance/disappearance of Raman peaks enables the dynamics of chemical species in biological samples to be monitored and plays an important role in studies aiming to image the spatial distribution of target molecules. Nevertheless, peaks from different molecules may overlap in the spectrum of a biological complex mixture, resulting in similar endogenous background and nonspectral contrast (47). In such cases, new chemical bonds can be introduced to circumvent the endogenous cellular background. Incorporation of heavy atoms into cells is one of the most common biochemical tricks used to introduce new chemical bonds and therefore achieve spectral contrast (48). ²H, ¹³C, and ¹⁵N are the most common stable isotope-labeled atoms used in metabolomics investigations to track activity and function of molecules in living organisms and have been exploited in studies involving NMR (8, 49) and MS (49) as well as Raman (42, 50, 51) and IR (50) spectroscopies. The combination of vibrational spectroscopic methods and stable isotope probing enables the direct measurement of unlabeled and labeled molecules, such as carbohydrates, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids, by monitoring the position of peaks in the spectra and how these peaks shift when heavy atoms are incorporated into their molecular structure (42, 52) (spectral shifts are represented in Figure 4d). The cellular uptake of ${}^{2}H$ results in the appearance of a peak due to carbon-deuterium (C–D) vibration peaking between 2,040 and 2,300 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum, which corresponds to a shift in



Figure 4

Common situations obtained during a Raman experiment. (*a*) Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) spectra of healthy cerebrospinal fluid samples and those infected by *Neisseria meningitidis*, in which it is possible to observe the appearance of peaks related to neopterin. Panel adapted from Reference 40 under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) License, **http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0**. (*b*) Changes in peak intensities of SERS spectra for increasing concentrations of miRNA-203. (*c*) The calibration curve obtained by plotting the I_{1,499}/I₇₈₃ ratio against the logarithmic concentration of miRNA-203. Panels *b,c* adapted with permission from Reference 41; copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. (*d*) Shifts in peak position in SERS spectra of *Escherichia coli* cells cultivated on unlabeled (*dashed line*), ¹³C-labeled (*red line*), ¹⁵N-labeled (*blue line*), and ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-labeled (*green line*) substrates. Panel adapted with permission from Reference 42; copyright 2017 Royal Society of Chemistry. (*e*) Raman spectra of 11 *Campylobacter* strains and principal component–discriminant function (DF) analysis scores in panel *f* showing satisfactory discrimination. Panels *e,f* adapted from Reference 43 under the terms of the Creative Commons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/. Other abbreviation: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline:

Guest (guest) 332 Lima • Muhamadali • Goodacre IP: 18.220.71.143 On: Tue, 02 Jul 2024 06:48:54 C–H vibrations due to the substitution of hydrogen atoms by deuterium (48, 52). The spectral region 1,800–2,600 cm⁻¹ is known as the silent zone because there are generally no detectable Raman peaks in cells grown with natural elements (except for molecules containing alkynes and nitriles, but these are biologically rare) and, thus, the appearance of a peak in this region provides good spectral contrast (47). Spectral changes due to incorporation of heavy isotopes are always shifted toward lower wavenumbers (so-called redshift) regardless of the compound used to label the cells and occur owing to an increase in the reduced mass that leads to a decrease in the vibrational frequencies (wavenumbers) of chemical bonds (42). Shifts resulting from the incorporation of ¹³C and ¹⁵N atoms are mainly observed in the low wavenumber region of Raman spectra, and therefore the appearance of peaks in the silent region is not observed, as is seen from C–D in Raman-deuterium isotope probing (50). With a wide variety of possible isotopic substrates, Raman-stable isotope probing has been used to probe cell activity and the metabolism of a wide range of living organisms, including bacteria (42, 48, 51, 53–56), algae (57), *Caenorhabditis elegans* (58), human cell lines (59), and human tissues (58).

Raman signals are linearly correlated to the number of molecules under investigation and can thus provide quantitative information (60). In such cases, a calibration curve is constructed based on the area or height of a peak plotted against the concentration of the analyte, as shown in **Figure 4**b,c (41). Once calibrated, the method can then be used to predict the concentration of the analyte from unknown samples (36). Quantification analysis can be performed when combined with univariate or multivariate statistical methods. For peaks that are not overlapped with other spectral features such as the situation depicted in **Figure 4**b (41), univariate analysis may be sufficient. In contrast, when the analyte is within a mixture with a complex background, or when information from more than one analyte is needed as input, multivariate analysis applied to the whole spectrum or a subset of the spectral features is recommended (60). Constructing a calibration curve requires spectra with well-defined peaks; thus, signatures with unclear peaks are poor candidates as inputs for calibration. This may be an issue for quantifying molecules within biological samples, as the concentration of the analytes is usually ultralow. In such cases, other techniques based on Raman scattering with higher sensitivity, such as SERS, offer more advantages.

Different analytical techniques, methods, and devices based on SERS have been developed for identification and/or quantification of analytes within biological samples (36, 37, 39, 60). The idea of a portable and cost-effective SERS device that is able to identify disease biomarkers has attracted increasing attention over the last 20 years, especially in the field of point-of-care diagnosis (36). However, some analytes do not possess the affinity to plasmonic nanoparticle surfaces and therefore it is common to conjugate metallic nanoparticles to ligands to enhance the chemical affinity of molecules and to recognize specific analytes. For example, antibodies are commonly employed in SERS platforms aiming to bind to and thus identify proteins, whereas oligonucleotide strands are used to detect selectively nucleic acids (36). The strategy employed to identify a specific analyte plays an important role in the sensitivity of the SERS platform. Zhang et al. (61) were able to detect dopamine at picomolar concentrations by first immobilizing dopamine molecules on gold thin film surfaces, followed by introducing 3-mercaptophenylboronic acid (3-MPBA)-functionalized silver nanoparticles to generate hot spots. In another study aiming to detect the same molecule, Ranc et al. (62) achieved a better limit of detection (picomolar) using a method based on a nanocomposite composed of magnetite and silver nanoparticles with a modified surface [iron nitriloacetic acid (Fe-NTA)] to selectively identify the molecules of dopamine. Several studies have focused on the development of SERS-based methods as a tool for liquid biopsy, which comprises the identification of disease biomarkers and the efficiency of a drug treatment in biofluids such as cerebrospinal fluid, saliva, urine, blood serum, and plasma (36). Table 1 lists some of the molecules targeted by studies using SERS in liquid biopsy research, including small molecules, proteins, nucleic acids, and drugs.

Table 1	Analytes from	human biof	uids that we	e quantified	l through	surface-enhan	ced Raman s	cattering (SERS)
platform	15								

Biofluid	Category	Analyte			
Cerebrospinal	Small molecules	Dopamine (61, 62, 103), neopterin (40), melatonin (103), serotonin (103), glutamate			
fluid		(103), GABA (103), norepinephrine (103), epinephrine (103)			
	Proteins	Tau protein (104, 105), Aβ(1–42) oligomers (105)			
	Nucleic acids	miRNAs (106, 107)			
Saliva	Small molecules	Sialic acid (108), nitrite (109), pyocyanin (110), thiocyanate (111)			
	Proteins	Urease (112), MnSOD (113)			
	Nucleic acids	S100P mRNA (114)			
	Drugs	Codeine (115), fentanyl (115), JWH-018 (116), THC (114), buprenorphine (117),			
		cocaine (118)			
Urine	Small molecules	Uric acid (119), 5β-pregnane-3α,20α-diol-3α-glucuronide (120), tetra hydrocortisone,			
		creatinine (121), urea (121), adenosine triphosphate (122), melatonin (103), serotonin			
		(103), glutamate (103), GABA (103), norepinephrine (103), epinephrine (103),			
		thiocyanate (123), tramadol (124)			
	Proteins	Albumin (125)			
	Drugs	Propranolol (126), methamphetamine (127, 128), cocaine (129), methcathinone (128),			
		methotrexate (130), MDMA (128)			
Blood	Small molecules	Adenosine triphosphate (122, 131), thiocyanate (123)			
serum/plasma	Proteins	α-Fetoprotein (132, 133), p53 (134) and p53 _{R175H} (134), TNF-α (135), thrombin (136),			
		MnSOD (113)			
	Nucleic acids	miRNA (132, 137–139)			
	Drugs	Codeine (115, 140), propranolol (126), 6-thioguanine (141), methotrexate (142), estradiol			
		(143), THC (114), fentanyl (115), imatinib (144), doxorubicin (145)			

Abbreviations: GABA, gamma aminobutyric acid; MDMA, 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine; MnSOD, manganese super oxide dismutase; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

SERS is not the only Raman method employed for quantification of molecules with biological interest. Although RRS has also been used for this purpose (63), it is more recommended for quantifying chromophoric species, while SERS can be applied in identifying a wide variety of molecules. Furthermore, SERS technology can be translated into portable devices for point-of-care medicine and is therefore by far the preferred method used for quantitative analysis.

SERS technology has also attracted attention in microbiology as a tool to study microbial cells, with one of the earlier studies showing that this technique was reproducible enough to type different microbes can be similar and sometimes indistinguishable (43) (**Figure 4***e*) to the naked eye, as the example shown in Reference 65, which compared SERS spectra from *Bacillus subtilis* to those from *Escherichia coli*. In such cases, multivariate statistical methods may be required to discriminate signatures from different microorganisms (66). Dina et al. (67) used SERS combined with principal component analysis (PCA) to detect two Gram-positive (*Enterococcus lactis* CE13 and CE39) and two Gram-negative [*E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) pLysS and *E. coli* XL1Blue] species of bacteria at the single-cell level. PCA is the most common technique employed for this purpose and has been combined with SERS as an easy, rapid, and accurate method for identification of microbial infections in clinical biochemistry (68), quantification of bacterial cells (42, 69), characterization of biofilms (70), and detection of biological warfare markers (71). When paired with stable isotope labeling, SERS is a powerful tool for functional analysis of microorganisms (48). For an excellent recent review on where SERS is used within microbiology, the reader is directed elsewhere (38).

Guest (guest) 334 Lima • Mubamadali • Goodacre IP: 18.220.71.143 On: Tue, 02 Jul 2024 06:48:54

3.2. Raman and Metabolomics: Imaging Mode

Visualizing and quantifying the spatial distribution of molecules in biological systems play an important role in understanding biological processes (35). Fluorescence-based methods have been extensively used for this purpose because they allow for the visualization of molecules down to the single-molecule level. However, such methods require endogenous (fluorescent proteins) or exogenous (organic dyes or quantum dots) markers to label the molecules of interest, which may directly interfere with their biological function or indirectly through undesired side effects such as photobleaching and/or phototoxicity (35). Furthermore, fluorescent labels may not work for small molecules due to the comparable size (or even bigger) of the marker compared to the molecule of interest. Thus, label-free chemical imaging obtained through Raman techniques is particularly attractive in its ability to provide information on the spatial distribution of molecules based on its chemical vibrations (32).

Images obtained through spontaneous Raman scattering, commonly referred to as Raman microspectroscopy, can be collected as chemical maps or hyperspectral images (72). In a chemical map, each pixel is a number representing the intensity of a single Raman peak and provides information on the spatial distribution of the chemical species related to the peak. However, owing to the inherent overlapping peaks in biological samples, the molecular specificity achieved by chemical maps is generally low (72). In a hyperspectral image, each pixel contains a Raman spectrum containing multiple Raman shifts, which can be processed through several different methods to obtain spatial information. Chemical maps can be generated from hyperspectral images by selecting the intensity or area of a specific Raman peak and the ratio between peak intensities/areas through univariate approaches. Shao et al. (73) evaluated the intracellular lipid content in the algae *Scenedesmus obliquus* by generating chemical maps from hyperspectral images using the intensity of a peak related to lipids (1,445 cm⁻¹). In another study evaluating the profiles of storage lipids in *Fistulifera solaris*, Hosokawa et al. (74) obtained good correlation between algal oil content measured by GC-MS and integrated Raman intensity at 1,445 cm⁻¹, indicating the potential of Raman techniques as a tool for rapid in vivo quantification of oil in oleaginous microalgae (**Figure 5**g–j).

Extensive efforts have been devoted to extract morphological information from Raman hyperspectral images through multivariate methods such as clustering algorithms. In such cases, the whole spectrum or a subset of the spectral features within each pixel is used as an input to the clustering algorithm, which partitions the data set into subgroups (clusters) of spectra with similar spectral features and generates a false-color map that will ultimately provide information about the structures and morphology of the biological system. Anna et al. (75) were able to discriminate human brain tumors from normal structures by reconstructing Raman images through k-means clustering analysis. For other examples of Raman spectroscopy associated to clustering techniques to segment hyperspectral images collected from soft tissue, the reader is directed elsewhere (33, 76). Limited work has been conducted on extracting quantitative information from hyperspectral images (77). In these cases, the Raman spectrum within each pixel is first decomposed into spectral signatures of biochemical components using sophisticated factor-based analysis such as multivariate curve resolution-alternating least squares (MCR-ALS) and spectral unmixing techniques, including vertex component analysis or the N-FINDR algorithm (77). This is possible based on the assumption that the overall tissue spectra are the linear combination of the spectra belonging to each biochemical component. Thus, the algorithm assumes the system is closed and estimates the contribution of each component to the whole spectrum to evaluate the distribution and abundance of each component in the image. Subsequently, a false-color map displaying the abundance and spatial distribution of each identified component is obtained (Figure 5a-f). You et al. (78) used vertex component analysis (VCA) to extract Raman signatures from collagen, elastin, actin,

Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org

cholesterol ester, cholesterol, triglycerides, β -carotene, whitlockite, and apatite from hyperspectral images to evaluate the role of each component in medial aortic calcification and atherosclerosis in human aorta. A study by Albro et al. (79) employed MCR to decompose the Raman spectrum within each pixel into signatures of extracellular matrix constituents (glycosaminoglycans, collagen, and water) to assess their distribution in cartilage tissue. Liu et al. (80) applied non-negative matrix factorization to evaluate the distribution of the signatures of *E. coli* cells, protein, and polyhydroxybutyrate within a biofilm matrix. Other examples include the development of a



Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Guest (guest) Lima • Muhamadali • Goodacre IP: 18.220.71.143

336

10 μm (*Caption appears on following page*)

Figure 5 (Figure appears on preceding page)

Images acquired from tissue and mammalian, algal, and bacterial cells through different Raman-based methods. (a-f) The main subcellular components [merged, cytoplasm, nucleus, triglycerides (TAGs), phospholipids (PLPs), and cholesterol] obtained through quantitative volumetric Raman imaging from differentiated macrophages. Panels a-f adapted from Reference 82 under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) License, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0. (g, i) Bright field and spontaneous Raman images (b, j) at 1,445 cm⁻¹ (lipids) obtained at different time points (24 h: g and b; 48 h: i and j) after lipid accumulation induction in Fistulifera solaris. Panels g-j adapted with permission from Reference 74; copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (k-n) Real-time uptake of 50-µM TPP-BDDBPDM in live HeLa cells 40 min after drug administration. (k) The difference between stimulated Raman spectroscopy (SRS) images acquired at Raman shifts of 2,935 and 2,845 cm⁻¹. (1) SRS image at a Raman shift of 2,845 cm⁻¹. (m) Overlay of images shown in panels k and l. (n) SRS image at 2,216 cm⁻¹ showing TPP-BDDBPDM distribution. Panels k-n adapted with permission from Reference 87; copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. (o-p) Hyperspectral SRS imaging of saturated and unsaturated fat in cancerous liver tissue. Overlay image (o) and multivariate curve resolution retrieved SRS spectra (p) of saturated fat (olive), unsaturated fat (green) and protein (magenta) in cancer tissue. Panels o-p adapted with permission from Reference 91; copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. (q-w) SRS images acquired at 2,168 cm⁻¹ from Pseudomonas aeruginosa in blood after 1 h culture in D2O-containing medium with the addition of serially diluted gentamicin (0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 µg/mL). Panels q-w adapted from Reference 96 under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) License, http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0.

classification model for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (81), analysis of mesenchymal stem cells cultured under biological conditions (77), and volumetric imaging of three-dimensional cell cultures (82) (Figure 5a-f).

Although Raman microspectroscopy has been successfully applied to visualize the spatial distribution of molecules in biological systems, the method is unsuitable for real-time imaging owing to the long acquisition time per pixel (28, 34). Here, CRS imaging, including CARS and SRS, provides significant advantages through its ability to collect images at video-rate acquisition speed (32). CRS images are obtained by tuning the pump and Stokes beams onto resonance with the frequency of a specific Raman peak (illustrated in Figure 3), resulting in a chemical map displaying the distribution of that specific chemical species. A common strategy is tuning the pump beam to multiple wavelengths and collecting a series of chemical maps that will ultimately provide information to reconstruct CARS/SRS spectra based on the intensity of pixels (83-85). SRS is free of nonresonant background, and its signal intensity is linearly proportional to molecular concentration (35); therefore, SRS images can be directly used to reconstruct spectra and perform quantitative analysis (85). In the case of CARS, additional preprocessing is needed due to the nonresonance background (83, 86). CRS microscopy has emerged as a powerful platform for cellular and tissue imaging and has provided important insights into the metabolism and dynamics of lipids, proteins, nucleic acids, and small molecules in mammalian cells (32, 87-90) (Figure 5kn), tissues (91–95) (Figure 50,p), microorganisms (96–98) (Figure 5q-w), and simple organisms such as Caenorhabditis elegans (58, 99) by targeting endogenous chemical bonds. One of the main drawbacks of CRS microscopy is that a variety of molecules share similar chemical bonds, which leads to the lack of spectral contrast and affects the required specificity to visualize some molecules. To circumvent this issue, a variety of special probes including stable isotope (²H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N) and triple-bond tags (alkyne and nitriles) have been developed and employed to further increase the sensitivity and specificity of CRS imaging (47, 52, 100, 101).

4. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The last 20 years have witnessed the blossoming of Raman spectroscopy as a powerful technique with broad applications in life sciences. There is no doubt that SERS has been the main Raman-based method for quantitative metabolomics and will continue to receive attention in the future owing to its ability to quantify/identify molecules at ultralow concentration in complex biological mixtures and the potential to do this as portable devices for point-of-care diagnoses. We believe that further improvements regarding sensitivity, selectivity, and reproducibility will contribute to the translation of SERS to the clinical environment as a tool to quantify disease biomarkers from different human biofluids, including blood plasma, serum, cerebrospinal fluid, urine, and saliva. Recent advances have shown that SERS is no longer an emerging technology, and it will find more applications in biological and medical fields, especially as a point-of-care technology and in personalized medicine. More recently, SERS has been combined with spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS) to provide information from molecules at subsurface layers (102). We believe this relatively young method will continue to expand according to advances in technology and analytical algorithms and will play an important role in the detection of disease biomarkers in vivo with no need for biopsy.

Despite the astounding progress in Raman microscopy in the past 20 years, there remain many challenges in the adoption of the technique for imaging chemical species in the biomedical sciences. More standardized preprocessing and data analysis techniques are needed to improve the reproducibility of results obtained by Raman images. Furthermore, in order to gain acceptance in the biomedical sciences, future developments should include correlation of Raman images with molecular-based characterization approaches, such as immunofluorescence and biochemical assays. We believe that SRS microscopy will receive more attention in the future because of its improved ability to provide direct quantitative information and real-time imaging compared to Raman microspectroscopy and CARS. Advances in this technology will hopefully increase the quality of spectra obtained through SRS images, which although significantly faster, is currently inferior compared to the spectrum obtained via spontaneous Raman spectroscopy. We anticipate that in the near future, hyperspectral Raman images will be decomposed into several images showing the spatial distribution and abundance of biochemical species in tissue and cells, which will play important roles in biology and medicine, ranging from three-dimensional mapping of small molecules in cells to studying diseases in tissue (computational histology). For this, the analysis of Raman images will rely on deep learning approaches and multivariate statistical methods that can decompose the overall spectrum within each pixel into the signatures of different molecules. Ultimately, the development of new tags/markers will improve the specificity in detecting specific molecules and decrease the similar backgrounds obtained from biological samples due to the similar chemical species in their composition.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to the University of Liverpool for financial support.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ellis DI, Dunn WB, Griffin JL, Allwood JW, Goodacre R. 2007. Metabolic fingerprinting as a diagnostic tool. *Pharmacogenomics* 8:1243–66
- Dunn WB, Broadhurst DI, Atherton HJ, Goodacre R, Griffin JL. 2011. Systems level studies of mammalian metabolomes: the roles of mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 40:387–426
- 3. Goodacre R. 2007. Metabolomics of a superorganism. J. Nutr. 137:259S-66S
- Wishart DS. 2016. Emerging applications of metabolomics in drug discovery and precision medicine. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 15:473–84, www.annualreviews.org.

338 Lima • Muhamadali • Goodacre

- Rappaport SM, Barupal DK, Wishart D, Vineis P, Scalbert A. 2014. The blood exposome and its role in discovering causes of disease. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 122:769–74
- Vermeulen R, Schymanski EL, Barabási A-L, Miller GW. 2020. The exposome and health: where chemistry meets biology. *Science* 367:392–96
- 7. Kumar A, Misra BB. 2019. Challenges and opportunities in cancer metabolomics. *Proteomics* 19:e1900042
- 8. Lane AN, Higashi RM, Fan TW. 2019. NMR and MS-based stable isotope-resolved metabolomics and applications in cancer metabolism. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 120:115322
- 9. Arneth B, Arneth R, Shams M. 2019. Metabolomics of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Int. J. Mol. Sci 20:2467
- Krzyszczyk P, Acevedo A, Davidoff EJ, Timmins LM, Marrero-Berrios I, et al. 2018. The growing role of precision and personalized medicine for cancer treatment. *Technology* 6:79–100
- 11. Beger RD, Dunn W, Schmidt MA, Gross SS, Kirwan JA, et al. 2016. Metabolomics enables precision medicine: "A White Paper, Community Perspective." *Metabolomics* 12:149
- Azad RK, Shulaev V. 2019. Metabolomics technology and bioinformatics for precision medicine. Brief Bioinform. 20:1957–71
- 13. Trivedi DK, Hollywood KA, Goodacre R. 2017. Metabolomics for the masses: the future of metabolomics in a personalized world. *New Horiz. Transl. Med.* 3:294-305
- Johnson CH, Ivanisevic J, Siuzdak G. 2016. Metabolomics: beyond biomarkers and towards mechanisms. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 17:451–59
- 15. Goodacre R, Vaidyanathan S, Dunn WB, Harrigan GG, Kell DB. 2004. Metabolomics by numbers: acquiring and understanding global metabolite data. *Trends Biotechnol*. 22:245–52
- Hollywood K, Brison DR, Goodacre R. 2006. Metabolomics: current technologies and future trends. Proteomics 6:4716–23
- Dunn WB, Bailey NJ, Johnson HE. 2005. Measuring the metabolome: current analytical technologies. *Analyst* 130:606–25
- Ren J-L, Zhang A-H, Kong L, Wang X-J. 2018. Advances in mass spectrometry-based metabolomics for investigation of metabolites. *RSC Adv.* 8:22335–50
- Zhang X-W, Li Q-H, Xu Z-D, Dou J-J. 2020. Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics in health and medical science: a systematic review. RSC Adv. 10:3092–104
- Pinu FR, Goldansaz SA, Jaine J. 2019. Translational metabolomics: current challenges and future opportunities. *Metabolites* 9:108
- Kapoore RV, Vaidyanathan S. 2016. Towards quantitative mass spectrometry-based metabolomics in microbial and mammalian systems. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 374. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsta.2015.0363
- 22. Yang Q, Zhang A-H, Miao J-H, Sun H, Han Y, et al. 2019. Metabolomics biotechnology, applications, and future trends: a systematic review. *RSC Adv*. 9:37245–57
- Ellis DI, Goodacre R. 2006. Metabolic fingerprinting in disease diagnosis: biomedical applications of infrared and Raman spectroscopy. *Analyst* 131:875–85
- 24. Balan V, Mihai CT, Cojocaru FD, Uritu CM, Dodi G, et al. 2019. Vibrational spectroscopy fingerprinting in medicine: from molecular to clinical practice. *Materials* 12:2884
- Ellis DI, Muhamadali H, Haughey SA, Elliott CT, Goodacre R. 2015. Point-and-shoot: rapid quantitative detection methods for on-site food fraud analysis—moving out of the laboratory and into the food supply chain. *Anal. Methods* 7:9401–14
- 26. Raman CV, Krishnan KS. 1928. A new type of secondary radiation. Nature 121:501-2
- 27. Krishnan RS, Shankar RK. 1981. Raman effect: history of the discovery. J. Raman Spectrosc. 10:1-8
- Jones RR, Hooper DC, Zhang L, Wolverson D, Valev VK. 2019. Raman techniques: fundamentals and frontiers. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 14:231
- 29. Mitsutake H, Poppi RJ, Breitkreitz MC. 2019. Raman imaging spectroscopy: history, fundamentals and current scenario of the technique. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 30:2243–58
- 30. Wilson EB, Decius JC, Cross PC. 1980. Molecular Vibrations: The Theory of Infrared and Raman Vibrational Spectra. New York: Dover
- Goodman BA. 1994. Molecular spectroscopy: introduction and general principles. In Clay Mineralogy: Spectroscopic and Chemical Determinative Methods, ed. MJ Wilson, pp. 1–10. Dordrecht: Springer

- 32. Fung AA, Shi L. 2020. Mammalian cell and tissue imaging using Raman and coherent Raman microscopy. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Syst. Biol. Med.* 12:e1501
- Krafft C, Schmitt M, Schie IW, Cialla-May D, Matthaus C, et al. 2017. Label-free molecular imaging of biological cells and tissues by linear and nonlinear Raman spectroscopic approaches. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56:4392–430
- Krafft C, Schie IW, Meyer T, Schmitt M, Popp J. 2016. Developments in spontaneous and coherent Raman scattering microscopic imaging for biomedical applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 45:1819–49
- Fu D. 2017. Quantitative chemical imaging with stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 39:24–31
- Langer J, Jimenez de Aberasturi D, Aizpurua J, Alvarez-Puebla RA, Auguie B, et al. 2020. Present and future of surface-enhanced Raman scattering. ACS Nano 14:28–117
- Zong C, Xu M, Xu LJ, Wei T, Ma X, et al. 2018. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for bioanalysis: reliability and challenges. *Chem. Rev.* 118:4946–80
- Chisanga M, Muhamadali H, Ellis DI, Goodacre R. 2018. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) in microbiology: illumination and enhancement of the microbial world. *Appl. Spectrosc* 72:987–1000
- Cialla-May D, Zheng XS, Weber K, Popp J. 2017. Recent progress in surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for biological and biomedical applications: from cells to clinics. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 46:3945–61
- Kaminska A, Witkowska E, Kowalska A, Skoczynska A, Gawryszewska I, et al. 2016. Highly efficient SERS-based detection of cerebrospinal fluid neopterin as a diagnostic marker of bacterial infection. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 408:4319–27
- Ye S, Li X, Wang M, Tang B. 2017. Fluorescence and SERS imaging for the simultaneous absolute quantification of multiple miRNAs in living cells. *Anal. Chem.* 89:5124–30
- Chisanga M, Muhamadali H, Kimber R, Goodacre R. 2017. Quantitative detection of isotopically enriched *E. coli* cells by SERS. *Faraday Discuss*. 205:331–43
- Muhamadali H, Weaver D, Subaihi A, AlMasoud N, Trivedi DK, et al. 2016. Chicken, beams, and *Campy-lobacter*: rapid differentiation of foodborne bacteria via vibrational spectroscopy and MALDI-mass spectrometry. *Analyst* 141:111–22
- 44. Alonso-Pernas P, Arias-Cordero E, Novoselov A, Ebert C, Rybak J, et al. 2017. Bacterial community and PHB-accumulating bacteria associated with the wall and specialized niches of the hindgut of the forest cockchafer (*Melolontha bippocastani*). *Front. Microbiol.* 8:291
- El-Said WA, Kim SU, Choi J-W. 2015. Monitoring in vitro neural stem cell differentiation based on surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy using a gold nanostar array. *7. Mater. Chem. C* 3:3848–59
- Chen F, Flaherty BR, Cohen CE, Peterson DS, Zhao Y. 2016. Direct detection of malaria infected red blood cells by surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Nanomedicine* 12:1445–51
- Zhao Z, Shen Y, Hu F, Min W. 2017. Applications of vibrational tags in biological imaging by Raman microscopy. *Analyst* 142:4018–29
- Wegener G, Kellermann MY, Elvert M. 2016. Tracking activity and function of microorganisms by stable isotope probing of membrane lipids. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 41:43–52
- Lane AN, Tan J, Wang Y, Yan J, Higashi RM, Fan TW. 2017. Probing the metabolic phenotype of breast cancer cells by multiple tracer stable isotope resolved metabolomics. *Metab. Eng.* 43:125–36
- Muhamadali H, Chisanga M, Subaihi A, Goodacre R. 2015. Combining Raman and FT-IR spectroscopy with quantitative isotopic labeling for differentiation of *E. coli* cells at community and single cell levels. *Anal. Chem.* 87:4578–86
- Wang Y, Song Y, Tao Y, Muhamadali H, Goodacre R, et al. 2016. Reverse and multiple stable isotope probing to study bacterial metabolism and interactions at the single cell level. *Anal. Chem.* 88:9443–50
- Azemtsop Matanfack G, Rüger J, Stiebing C, Schmitt M, Popp J. 2020. Imaging the invisiblebioorthogonal Raman probes for imaging of cells and tissues. *J. Biophoton.* 13:e202000129
- Premasiri WR, Lee JC, Sauer-Budge A, Theberge R, Costello CE, Ziegler LD. 2016. The biochemical origins of the surface-enhanced Raman spectra of bacteria: a metabolomics profiling by SERS. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 408:4631–47
- Xu J, Zhu D, Ibrahim AD, Allen CCR, Gibson CM, et al. 2017. Raman deuterium isotope probing reveals microbial metabolism at the single-cell level. *Anal. Chem.* 89:13305–12

- 55. Wang Y, Xu J, Kong L, Liu T, Yi L, et al. 2020. Raman-deuterium isotope probing to study metabolic activities of single bacterial cells in human intestinal microbiota. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 13:572–83
- 56. Lee KS, Palatinszky M, Pereira FC, Nguyen J, Fernandez VI, et al. 2019. An automated Raman-based platform for the sorting of live cells by functional properties. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4:1035–48
- Yonamine Y, Hiramatsu K, Ideguchi T, Ito T, Fujiwara T, et al. 2020. Spatiotemporal monitoring of intracellular metabolic dynamics by resonance Raman microscopy with isotope labeling. *RSC Adv.* 10:16679–86
- 58. Shi L, Zheng C, Shen Y, Chen Z, Silveira ES, et al. 2018. Optical imaging of metabolic dynamics in animals. *Nat. Commun.* 9:2995
- 59. Zhang L, Shi L, Shen Y, Miao Y, Wei M, et al. 2019. Spectral tracing of deuterium for imaging glucose metabolism. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 3:402–13
- 60. Goodacre R, Graham D, Faulds K. 2018. Recent developments in quantitative SERS: moving towards absolute quantification. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 102:359–68
- Zhang K, Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhang R, Liu J, et al. 2018. Quantitative SERS detection of dopamine in cerebrospinal fluid by dual-recognition-induced hot spot generation. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10:15388–94
- 62. Ranc V, Markova Z, Hajduch M, Prucek R, Kvitek L, et al. 2014. Magnetically assisted surface-enhanced Raman scattering selective determination of dopamine in an artificial cerebrospinal fluid and a mouse striatum using Fe₃O₄/Ag nanocomposite. *Anal. Chem.* 86:2939–46
- Liu S, Ma H, Zhu J, Han XX, Zhao B. 2020. Ferrous cytochrome c-nitric oxide oxidation for quantification of protein S-nitrosylation probed by resonance Raman spectroscopy. Sens. Actuators B 308:127706
- Jarvis RM, Goodacre R. 2004. Discrimination of bacteria using surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 76:40–47
- Jarvis RM, Goodacre R. 2008. Characterisation and identification of bacteria using SERS. Chem. Soc. Rev. 37:931–36
- Muhamadali H, Subaihi A, Mohammadtaheri M, Xu Y, Ellis DI, et al. 2016. Rapid, accurate, and comparative differentiation of clinically and industrially relevant microorganisms via multiple vibrational spectroscopic fingerprinting. *Analyst* 141:5127–36
- Dina NE, Zhou H, Colnita A, Leopold N, Szoke-Nagy T, et al. 2017. Rapid single-cell detection and identification of pathogens by using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Analyst* 142:1782–89
- Pang Y, Wan N, Shi L, Wang C, Sun Z, et al. 2019. Dual-recognition surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) biosensor for pathogenic bacteria detection by using vancomycin-SERS tags and aptamer-Fe₃O₄@Au. Anal. Chim. Acta 1077:288–96
- Akanny E, Bonhomme A, Commun C, Doleans-Jordheim A, Bessueille F, et al. 2019. Development of uncoated near-spherical gold nanoparticles for the label-free quantification of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 411:5563–76
- Nguyen CQ, Thrift WJ, Bhattacharjee A, Ranjbar S, Gallagher T, et al. 2018. Longitudinal monitoring of biofilm formation via robust surface-enhanced Raman scattering quantification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-produced metabolites. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 10:12364–73
- Cheung M, Lee WW, Cowcher DP, Goodacre R, Bell SE. 2016. SERS of meso-droplets supported on superhydrophobic wires allows exquisitely sensitive detection of dipicolinic acid, an anthrax biomarker, considerably below the infective dose. *Chem. Commun.* 52:9925–28
- Bergholt MS, Serio A, Albro MB. 2019. Raman spectroscopy: guiding light for the extracellular matrix. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7:303
- 73. Shao Y, Fang H, Zhou H, Wang Q, Zhu Y, He Y. 2017. Detection and imaging of lipids of *Scenedesmus obliquus* based on confocal Raman microspectroscopy. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 10:300
- 74. Hosokawa M, Ando M, Mukai S, Osada K, Yoshino T, et al. 2014. In vivo live cell imaging for the quantitative monitoring of lipids by using Raman microspectroscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 86:8224–30
- Anna I, Bartosz P, Lech P, Halina A. 2017. Novel strategies of Raman imaging for brain tumor research. Oncotarget 8:85290–310
- Dybas J, Marzec KM, Pacia MZ, Kochan K, Czamara K, et al. 2016. Raman spectroscopy as a sensitive probe of soft tissue composition—imaging of cross-sections of various organs versus single spectra of tissue homogenates. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 85:117–27.

- Hedegaard MA, Bergholt MS, Stevens MM. 2016. Quantitative multi-image analysis for biomedical Raman spectroscopic imaging. *J. Biophoton.* 9:542–50
- 78. You A, Bergholt M, St-Pierre JP, Kit-Anan W, Pence I, et al. 2017. Raman spectroscopy imaging reveals interplay between atherosclerosis and medial calcification in the human aorta. *Sci. Adv.* 3:e1701156
- Albro MB, Bergholt MS, St-Pierre JP, Vinals Guitart A, Zlotnick HM, et al. 2018. Raman spectroscopic imaging for quantification of depth-dependent and local heterogeneities in native and engineered cartilage. NPJ Regen. Med. 3:3
- Liu XY, Guo S, Ramoji A, Bocklitz T, Rosch P, et al. 2020. Spatiotemporal organization of biofilm matrix revealed by confocal Raman mapping integrated with non-negative matrix factorization analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 92:707–15
- Yan J, Yu Y, Kang JW, Tam ZY, Xu S, et al. 2017. Development of a classification model for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) using confocal Raman micro-spectroscopy. *J. Biophoton.* 10:1703–13
- Kallepitis C, Bergholt MS, Mazo MM, Leonardo V, Skaalure SC, et al. 2017. Quantitative volumetric Raman imaging of three dimensional cell cultures. *Nat. Commun.* 8:14843
- Rangan S, Schulze HG, Vardaki MZ, Blades MW, Piret JM, Turner RFB. 2020. Applications of Raman spectroscopy in the development of cell therapies: state of the art and future perspectives. *Analyst* 145:2070–105
- Bi Y, Yang C, Chen Y, Yan S, Yang G, et al. 2018. Near-resonance enhanced label-free stimulated Raman scattering microscopy with spatial resolution near 130 nm. *Light Sci. Appl.* 7:81
- Hu F, Shi L, Min W. 2019. Biological imaging of chemical bonds by stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. Nat. Methods 16:830–42
- Karuna A, Masia F, Wiltshire M, Errington R, Borri P, Langbein W. 2019. Label-free volumetric quantitative imaging of the human somatic cell division by hyperspectral coherent anti-stokes Raman scattering. *Anal. Chem.* 91:2813–21
- Bae K, Zheng W, Ma Y, Huang Z. 2020. Real-time monitoring of pharmacokinetics of mitochondriatargeting molecules in live cells with bioorthogonal hyperspectral stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 92:740–48
- Cao C, Zhou D, Chen T, Streets AM, Huang Y. 2016. Label-free digital quantification of lipid droplets in single cells by stimulated Raman microscopy on a microfluidic platform. *Anal. Chem.* 88:4931–39
- Zhang C, Li J, Lan L, Cheng JX. 2017. Quantification of lipid metabolism in living cells through the dynamics of lipid droplets measured by stimulated Raman scattering imaging. *Anal. Chem.* 89:4502–7
- Wei L, Hu F, Chen Z, Shen Y, Zhang L, Min W. 2016. Live-cell bioorthogonal chemical imaging: stimulated Raman scattering microscopy of vibrational probes. Acc. Chem. Res. 49:1494–502
- Yan S, Cui S, Ke K, Zhao B, Liu X, et al. 2018. Hyperspectral stimulated Raman scattering microscopy unravels aberrant accumulation of saturated fat in human liver cancer. *Anal. Chem.* 90:6362–66
- Shin KS, Laohajaratsang M, Men S, Figueroa B, Dintzis SM, Fu D. 2020. Quantitative chemical imaging of breast calcifications in association with neoplastic processes. *Theranostics* 10:5865–78
- Wei M, Shi L, Shen Y, Zhao Z, Guzman A, et al. 2019. Volumetric chemical imaging by clearingenhanced stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. *PNAS* 116:6608–17
- Sarri B, Canonge R, Audier X, Simon E, Wojak J, et al. 2019. Fast stimulated Raman and second harmonic generation imaging for intraoperative gastro-intestinal cancer detection. *Sci. Rep.* 9:10052
- Cicerone MT, Camp CH. 2017. Histological coherent Raman imaging: a prognostic review. Analyst 143:33–59
- Zhang M, Hong W, Abutaleb NS, Li J, Dong PT, et al. 2020. Rapid determination of antimicrobial susceptibility by stimulated Raman scattering imaging of D₂O metabolic incorporation in a single bacterium. *Adv. Sci.* 7:2001452
- Bae K, Zheng W, Ma Y, Huang Z. 2019. Real-time monitoring of pharmacokinetics of antibiotics in biofilms with Raman-tagged hyperspectral stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. *Theranostics* 9:1348–57
- Hong W, Karanja CW, Abutaleb NS, Younis W, Zhang X, et al. 2018. Antibiotic susceptibility determination within one cell cycle at single-bacterium level by stimulated Raman metabolic imaging. *Anal. Chem.* 90:3737–43, While and From www.annualreviews.org.

342 Lima • Muhamadali • Goodacre IP: 18.

- 99. Li X, Li Y, Jiang M, Wu W, He S, et al. 2019. Quantitative imaging of lipid synthesis and lipolysis dynamics in Caenorhabditis elegans by stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. Anal. Chem. 91:2279-87
- 100. Hu F, Chen Z, Zhang L, Shen Y, Wei L, Min W. 2015. Vibrational imaging of glucose uptake activity in live cells and tissues by stimulated Raman scattering. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54:9821-25
- 101. Shen Y, Hu F, Min W. 2019. Raman imaging of small biomolecules. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 48:347-69
- 102. Moody AS, Payne TD, Barth BA, Sharma B. 2020. Surface-enhanced spatially-offset Raman spectroscopy (SESORS) for detection of neurochemicals through the skull at physiologically relevant concentrations. Analyst 145:1885-93
- 103. Moody AS, Sharma B. 2018. Multi-metal, multi-wavelength surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy detection of neurotransmitters. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 9:1380-87
- 104. Maurer V, Frank C, Porsiel JC, Zellmer S, Garnweitner G, Stosch R. 2020. Step-by-step monitoring of a magnetic and SERS-active immunosensor assembly for purification and detection of tau protein. 7. Biophoton. 13:e201960090
- 105. Zhang X, Liu S, Song X, Wang H, Wang J, et al. 2019. Robust and universal SERS sensing platform for multiplexed detection of Alzheimer's disease core biomarkers using PAapt-AuNPs conjugates. ACS Sens. 4:2140-49
- 106. Raoof R, Jimenez-Mateos EM, Bauer S, Tackenberg B, Rosenow F, et al. 2017. Cerebrospinal fluid microRNAs are potential biomarkers of temporal lobe epilepsy and status epilepticus. Sci. Rep. 7:3328
- 107. Saugstad JA, Lusardi TA, Van Keuren-Jensen KR, Phillips JI, Lind B, et al. 2017. Analysis of extracellular RNA in cerebrospinal fluid. J. Extracell. Vesicles 6:1317577
- 108. Hernández-Arteaga A, de Jesús Zermeño Nava J, Kolosovas-Machuca ES, Velázquez-Salazar JJ, Vinogradova E, et al. 2017. Diagnosis of breast cancer by analysis of sialic acid concentrations in human saliva by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of silver nanoparticles. Nano Res. 10:3662-70
- 109. Yang H, Xiang Y, Guo X, Wu Y, Wen Y, Yang H. 2018. Diazo-reaction-based SERS substrates for detection of nitrite in saliva. Sens. Actuators B 271:118-21
- 110. Žukovskaja O, Jahn IJ, Weber K, Cialla-May D, Popp J. 2017. Detection of Pseudomonas aeruginosa metabolite pyocyanin in water and saliva by employing the SERS technique. Sensors 17:1704
- 111. Falamas A, Rotaru H, Hedesiu M. 2020. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) investigations of saliva for oral cancer diagnosis. Lasers Med. Sci. 35:1393-401
- 112. Hu S, Gao Y, Wu Y, Guo X, Ying Y, et al. 2019. Raman tracking the activity of urease in saliva for healthcare. Biosens. Bioelectron. 129:24-28
- 113. Cottat M, D'Andrea C, Yasukuni R, Malashikhina N, Grinyte R, et al. 2015. High sensitivity, high selectivity SERS detection of MnSOD using optical nanoantennas functionalized with aptamers. 7. Phys. Chem. C 119:15532-40
- 114. Sivashanmugan K, Squire K, Tan A, Zhao Y, Kraai JA, et al. 2019. Trace detection of tetrahydrocannabinol in body fluid via surface-enhanced Raman scattering and principal component analysis. ACS Sens. 4:1109-17
- 115. Shende C, Brouillette C, Farquharson S. 2019. Detection of codeine and fentanyl in saliva, blood plasma and whole blood in 5-minutes using a SERS flow-separation strip. Analyst 144:5449-54
- 116. Deriu C, Conticello I, Mebel AM, McCord B. 2019. Micro solid phase extraction surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (mu-SPE/SERS) screening test for the detection of the synthetic cannabinoid JWH-018 in oral fluid. Anal. Chem. 91:4780-89
- 117. Farquharson S, Dana K, Shende C, Gladding Z, Newcomb J, et al. 2017. Rapid identification of buprenorphine in patient saliva. 7. Anal. Bioanal. Tech. 8:368
- 118. Dana K, Shende C, Huang H, Farquharson S. 2015. Rapid analysis of cocaine in saliva by surfaceenhanced Raman spectroscopy. 7. Anal. Bioanal. Tech. 6:1-5
- 119. Westley C, Xu Y, Thilaganathan B, Carnell AJ, Turner NJ, Goodacre R. 2017. Absolute quantification of uric acid in human urine using surface enhanced Raman scattering with the standard addition method. Anal. Chem. 89:2472-77
- 120. Kao YC, Han X, Lee YH, Lee HK, Phan-Quang GC, et al. 2020. Multiplex surface-enhanced Raman scattering identification and quantification of urine metabolites in patient samples within 30 min. ACS Nano 14:2542-52

- 121. Saatkamp CJ, de Almeida ML, Bispo JA, Pinheiro AL, Fernandes AB, Silveira L Jr. 2016. Quantifying creatinine and urea in human urine through Raman spectroscopy aiming at diagnosis of kidney disease. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 21:37001
- 122. Tian Y-F, Zhou W, Yin B-C, Ye B-C. 2017. Highly sensitive surface-enhanced Raman scattering detection of adenosine triphosphate based on core–satellite assemblies. *Anal. Methods* 9:6038–43
- 123. Ankudze B, Philip A, Pakkanen TT. 2019. Ultrasensitive and recyclable superstructure of AuSiO2@Ag wire for surface-enhanced Raman scattering detection of thiocyanate in urine and human serum. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1049:179–87
- Alharbi O, Xu Y, Goodacre R. 2015. Detection and quantification of the opioid tramadol in urine using surface enhanced Raman scattering. *Analyst* 140:5965–70
- Stefancu A, Moisoiu V, Bocsa C, Balint Z, Cosma DT, et al. 2018. SERS-based quantification of albuminuria in the normal-to-mildly increased range. *Analyst* 143:5372–79
- 126. Subaihi A, Almanqur L, Muhamadali H, AlMasoud N, Ellis DI, et al. 2016. Rapid, accurate, and quantitative detection of propranolol in multiple human biofluids via surface-enhanced Raman scattering. *Anal. Chem.* 88:10884–92
- 127. Dong R, Weng S, Yang L, Liu J. 2015. Detection and direct readout of drugs in human urine using dynamic surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and support vector machines. *Anal. Chem.* 87:2937–44
- Han Z, Liu H, Meng J, Yang L, Liu J, Liu J. 2015. Portable kit for identification and detection of drugs in human urine using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 87:9500–6
- Meng J, Tang X, Zhou B, Xie Q, Yang L. 2017. Designing of ordered two-dimensional gold nanoparticles film for cocaine detection in human urine using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Talanta* 164:693– 99
- Subaihi A, Trivedi DK, Hollywood KA, Bluett J, Xu Y, et al. 2017. Quantitative online liquid chromatography-surface-enhanced Raman scattering (LC-SERS) of methotrexate and its major metabolites. *Anal. Chem.* 89:6702–9
- Cui Y, Wang H, Liu S, Wang Y, Huang J. 2020. Target-activated DNA nanomachines for the ATP detection based on the SERS of plasmonic coupling from gold nanoparticle aggregation. *Analyst* 145:445–52
- 132. Cheng L, Zhang Z, Zuo D, Zhu W, Zhang J, et al. 2018. Ultrasensitive detection of serum microRNA using branched DNA-based SERS platform combining simultaneous detection of α-fetoprotein for early diagnosis of liver cancer. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10:34869–77
- 133. He X, Ge C, Zheng X, Tang B, Chen L, et al. 2020. Rapid identification of α-fetoprotein in serum by a microfluidic SERS chip integrated with Ag/Au nanocomposites. Sens. Actuators B 317:128196
- Bizzarri AR, Moscetti I, Cannistraro S. 2018. Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy based immunosensor for ultrasensitive and selective detection of wild type p53 and mutant p53_{R175H}. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1029:86–96
- Gholami MD, Sonar P, Ayoko GA, Izake EL. 2020. A highly sensitive SERS quenching nanosensor for the determination of tumor necrosis factor alpha in blood. *Sens. Actuators B* 310:127867
- 136. Yang L, Fu C, Wang H, Xu S, Xu W. 2017. Aptamer-based surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) sensor for thrombin based on supramolecular recognition, oriented assembly, and local field coupling. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 409:235–42
- 137. Shao H, Lin H, Guo Z, Lu J, Jia Y, et al. 2019. A multiple signal amplification sandwich-type SERS biosensor for femtomolar detection of miRNA. *Biosens. Bioelectron*. 143:111616
- Lee JU, Kim WH, Lee HS, Park KH, Sim SJ. 2019. Quantitative and specific detection of exosomal miRNAs for accurate diagnosis of breast cancer using a surface-enhanced Raman scattering sensor based on plasmonic head-flocked gold nanopillars. *Small* 15:e1804968
- Lee T, Mohammadniaei M, Zhang H, Yoon J, Choi HK, et al. 2020. Single functionalized pRNA/gold nanoparticle for ultrasensitive microRNA detection using electrochemical surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Adv. Sci. 7:1902477
- Subaihi A, Muhamadali H, Mutter ST, Blanch E, Ellis DI, Goodacre R. 2017. Quantitative detection of codeine in human plasma using surface-enhanced Raman scattering via adaptation of the isotopic labelling principle. *Analyst* 142:1099–105
- 141. Zhang W-S, Wang Y-N, Wang Y, Xu Z-R. 2019. Highly reproducible and fast detection of 6-thioguanine in human serum using a droplet-based microfluidic SERS system. *Sens. Actuators B* 283:532–37

- 142. Chen M, Tang J, Luo W, Zhang Z, Zhu Y, et al. 2018. Core-shell-satellite microspheres-modified glass capillary for microsampling and ultrasensitive SERS spectroscopic detection of methotrexate in serum. *Sens. Actuators B* 275:267–76
- 143. Wang R, Chon H, Lee S, Cheng Z, Hong SH, et al. 2016. Highly sensitive detection of hormone estradiol E2 using surface-enhanced Raman scattering based immunoassays for the clinical diagnosis of precocious puberty. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8:10665–72
- 144. Fornasaro S, Bonifacio A, Marangon E, Buzzo M, Toffoli G, et al. 2018. Label-free quantification of anticancer drug imatinib in human plasma with surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 90:12670–77
- 145. Panikar SS, Banu N, Escobar ER, Garcia GR, Cervantes-Martinez J, et al. 2020. Stealth modified bottom up SERS substrates for label-free therapeutic drug monitoring of doxorubicin in blood serum. *Talanta* 218:121138

Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org. Guest (guest) www.annualreviews.org • Raman Spectroscopy Within Metabolomics